

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/091,357	03/01/2002	Sivaram Pillarisetti	18631-0141 (45115-268551)	7257	
26158	7590 09/10/2004		EXAMINER		
WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE & RICE, PLLC P.O. BOX 7037			HADDAD, MAHER M		
ATLANTA,	GA 30357-0037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1644		
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Α	Applicant(s)				
	10/091,357		PILLARISETTI, SIVARAM				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
•	Maher M. Hadda		644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ì			dress			
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how y within the statutory min will apply and will expire to cause the application to the statutory min will expert the statutory to the statutory that we will be statutory that we	ever, may a reply be timely nimum of thirty (30) days wi SIX (6) MONTHS from the o become ABANDONED (rilled ill be considered timely mailing date of this col (35 U.S.C. § 133).	: mmunication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-fin	al.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	wn from consider						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) ob drawing(s) be held tion is required if th	in abeyance. See 3 e drawing(s) is object	7 CFR 1.85(a). ted to. See 37 CF				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· —	Interview Summary (PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Pate Other:	 •	-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/091,357

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Examiner considers claims 3 was intended to depend from claim 2.
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
 - I-XV. Claim 5, drawn to a method for detecting compounds that effect cell proliferation wherein the cell proliferation is determined by measuring the amount of HSPG, wherein the compound is a chemical element, molecule, compound, mixture, emulsion, chemotherapeutic, agent pharmacological agent, hormone, antibody, growth factor, cellular factor, nucleic acid or nucleotide, protein or peptide, peptidomimetic, carbohydrate, *respectively*, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.1.
 - XVI-XXX. Claim 9, drawn to a composition comprising a chemical element, molecule, compound, mixture, emulsion, chemotherapeutic agent, pharmacological agent, hormone, antibody, growth factor, cellular factor, nucleic acid or nucleotide, protein or peptide, peptidomimetic, carbohydrate, *respectively*; classified in Class 530, subclasses 387.1, 350, 395, 837 and 866 and Class 536, subclass 23.1.
 - XXXI. Claims 14-16, drawn to a method for treating vascular occlusive conditions, with a composition comprising one or more compounds in an effective amount for inducing HSPG synthesis; classified in Class 424, subclass 133.1, 185.1.

Claims 1-4 are linking claims and will be examined along with any elected Group of I-XV.

Claims 6-8, 10-11 and 13 are linking claims and will be examined along with any elected Group of XVI-XXX.

Claim 12 will be examined along with the Group that read on nucleotide or nucleic acid of Group XXVII

- 3. Groups XVI-XXX are different products. Chemical elements, molecules, compounds, mixtures, emulsions, chemotherapeutic agents, pharmacological agents, hormones, antibodies, growth factors, cellular factors, nucleic acids or nucleotides, proteins or peptides, peptidomimetic, carbohydrates differ with respect to their structures and physicochemical properties; therefore each product is patentably distinct.
- 4. Groups 1-XV and XXXI are different methods. Various methods of detecting and a method of treating differ with respect to ingredients, method steps, and endpoints; therefore, each method is patentably distinct.
- 5. Groups XVI-XXXI/I-XV are related as product and process of using. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the

Application/Control Number: 10/091,357 Page 3

Art Unit: 1644

product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the antibody of Group XXIV can be used for affinity purification, in addition to the methods of detecting recited. Similarly, the nucleic acid of Group XXVII can be used as a probe, in addition to the methods of detecting recited. The protein of Group XXVIII can be used to make an antibody, in addition to the methods of detecting recited. The chemical element, molecule, compound, mixture, emulsion, chemotherapeutic agent, pharmacological agent and growth and cellular factors, peptidomimetic and carbohydrate can be used to treat a disease, in addition to the methods of detecting recited

6. These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above. In addition, they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by different classification and/or recognized divergent subject matter. Further, even though in some cases the classification is shared, a different field of search would be required based upon the structurally distinct products recited and the various methods of use comprising distinct method steps. Therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Further, a prior art search also requires a literature search. It is an undue burden for the examiner to search more than one invention.

Species Election

- 7. Irrespective of whichever group applicant may elect, applicant is further required under 35 US 121 (1) to elect a single disclosed species to which claims would be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable and (2) to list all claims readable thereon including those subsequently added.
 - 1. If Group I-XXXI is elected, applicant is required to elect a single specific species disclosed in the specification representative of the compound of the elected Group. These species are distinct species because their structures and modes of action are different which, in turn, address different therapeutic endpoints.
 - 2. If Group XXXI is elected, applicant is required to elect a single specific vascular occlusive condition such as those disclosed in claim 16. These species are distinct because the pathological conditions differ in etiologies and therapeutic endpoints; thus each condition represents patentably distinct subject matter.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Art Unit: 1644

8. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

- 9. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 10. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. **Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/091,357

Art Unit: 1644

- 12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maher Haddad whose telephone number is (571) 272-0845. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571) 272-0841. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Maher Haddad, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600 September 7, 2004

HRISTINA CHAN

MASSRY PATENT EXAMINER
DEMANDER CENTER 1600